

Oral Immunotherapy to Hake in 8 Pediatric Patients

Martorell-Calatayud C¹, Carnés J², Michavila Gómez A³, Dopazo Fernández L⁴, Echeverría Zudaire L⁵, Santana Rodríguez C⁶, Gómez Galán C⁷, Toral Pérez T⁸, Rodríguez Del Río P⁹, Martorell Aragón A¹

¹Hospital General Universitario, Valencia, Spain

²R&D Allergy & Immunology Unit, LETIPharma S.L., Madrid, Spain

³Hospital General, Castellón, Spain

⁴Hospital de Cruces, Baracaldo, Spain

⁵Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa, Leganés, Spain

⁶Hospital General, Segovia, Spain

⁷Hospital San Joan de Déu, Manresa, Spain

⁸Hospital General, Alicante, Spain

⁹Hospital Niño Jesús, Madrid, Spain

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2019; Vol. 29(4): 294-296
doi: 10.18176/jiaci.0372

Key words: Oral immunotherapy. Oral desensitization. Food allergy. Hake allergy.

Palabras clave: Inmunoterapia oral. Desensibilización oral. Alergia alimentaria. Alergia a merluza.

Increased consumption of fish because of its high nutritional value and role in a healthy diet has led to more common reporting of adverse reactions, including IgE-mediated reactions [1]. In countries where fish intake is high (eg, Spain), fish allergy is one of the most common food allergies in children, together with milk and egg allergy. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, the overall pooled estimate (all age groups) of self-reported lifetime prevalence of fish allergy in Europe was 2.2%; the prevalence of food challenge-confirmed fish allergy was 0.1% [2].

Fish allergy often develops early in life and can be an important cause of severe acute hypersensitivity reactions, including life-threatening anaphylaxis. Furthermore, although children can develop tolerance to the most common food allergens, the potential for persistence of fish allergy should be considered when counseling families regarding the expected clinical course [1].

To date, the only method for treating food allergy is avoidance of the offending food in conjunction with rescue medication in case of accidental exposure. Oral immunotherapy (OIT) for several foods (milk, egg, peanut) has proven effective in most treated patients [3], although few cases involving desensitization with fish have been reported in the literature [4-6]. An important limitation of fish OIT is the difficulty adapting the fish product for administration of the necessary doses.

The primary objective of this pilot study was to evaluate the efficacy of achieving desensitization of IgE-mediated hake allergy with an OIT protocol using a well-characterized and lyophilized hake extract (LHE). The secondary objective was to evaluate the safety of this protocol.

We performed a multicenter, prospective, open, noncontrolled study in the pediatric allergology units of various Spanish Hospitals after ethics committee approval. Patients were recruited consecutively according to the following criteria: age 4 to 14 years; history of acute clinical reactions after ingestion of hake; hake IgE >0.7 kU/L (Immuno-CAP, Thermo Fisher Scientific); hake skin prick test wheal at least 3 mm greater than the negative control (1250 µg protein/mL [LETIPharma S.L.]); and a positive result for LHE in a double-blind placebo-controlled challenge test (DBPCFC). For ethical reasons, DBPCFC was not deemed necessary in patients with high levels of sIgE (>20 kU/L: 95% positive predictive value of positive challenge [7]).

We obtained informed consent from the legal guardians of the participating children and informed assent from those aged 12 and older.

LHE was manufactured under conditions of Good Manufacturing Practice according to internal procedures (LETIPharma S.L.) (Supplementary Appendix). A personalized kit was prepared for each patient. The kit consisted of individual vials containing the exact milligram amount of LHE for each dose and was stored refrigerated and under vacuum conditions. Each vial was dissolved in orange juice at the time of administration. Vials for DBPCFC were manufactured under the same conditions but with a single vial of 250 mg for the different dilutions.

The OIT protocol included an initial escalation phase followed by a dose build-up phase (Table S1 in the Supplementary Appendix). The initial escalation phase was conducted over 2 days using rapid up titration, which starts with 0.006 mg of LHE, and doubling of the doses every 60 minutes to a maximum dose of 0.111 mg on the first day and 1.8 mg on the second day. In the build-up phase, the dose was escalated incrementally every week for the following 16 weeks, from 1.8 mg to the target dose of 225 mg. At day 7, after finishing the build-up phase, all patients underwent the DBPCFC with LHE (cumulative dose, 450 mg; equivalent to 150 g of hake), and at day 14, patients underwent an open challenge with 150 g of cooked hake. LHE was diluted in orange juice. All initial dose increases were administered under supervision at hospital; if the dose was tolerated, it was then given daily at home. Instructions for treatment and modification of the dosing schedules according to severity of adverse reactions are in accordance with Spanish guidelines on OIT [8-9].

A total of 8 children were recruited (aged 4-14 years; 87.5% males) (Table). All 8 patients completed the study and reached the target dose of 225 mg (equivalent to 75 g of hake) with good tolerance and continued this dose daily for 2 weeks.

Table. Patient Demographic Data and Allergic and Clinical Characteristics

Patient	Age, y	Symptoms With Prior Hake Exposure ^a	Hake Skin Test Wheal, mm	Endpoint SPT Titration, µg Protein/mL ^b	Hake sIgE, kU/L	rGad C 1- sIgE, kU/L ^c	OFC, Maximal Tolerated Dose (Lyophilized Hake), mg
1	14	Urticarial rash, vomiting, bronchospasm	11	12.5	5.15	-	7
2	13	Urticarial rash, facial angioedema	15	12.5	4.11	2.81	58
3	11	Urticarial rash, facial angioedema	12	1.25	59.2	43.1	ND
4	5	Urticarial rash, facial angioedema abdominal pain	9	12.5	17.5	12.4	58
5	4	Urticarial rash	8	12.5	1.6	0.11	58
6	9	Urticarial rash	12	12.5	25.9	22.6	ND
7	11	Oral pruritus, conjunctivitis, abdominal pain	10	12.5	5.8	4.93	58
8	9	Urticarial rash, facial angioedema, vomiting	16	1.25	30.9	11.4	ND

Abbreviations: ND, not done (hake-specific IgE >20 kU/L); OFC, oral food challenge; SPT, skin prick test.

^aSymptoms with initial hake ingestion based on reported histories.

^bEndpoint SPT titration technique with dilutions of hake extract: 1250, 125, 12.5, and 1.25 µg protein/mL.

^crGad c 1 sIgE: Immuno-CAP Thermo Fisher Scientific.

At day 7, all patients underwent the DBPCFC with LHE (cumulative dose, 450 mg; equivalent to 150 g of hake), and at day 14, patients underwent an open challenge with 150 g of cooked hake (maintenance dose), with appropriate tolerance and no symptoms. Patients were instructed to continue with a maintenance dose of 150 g of cooked hake once daily for 3 days a week.

Adverse reactions were recorded during the OIT process, both in the hospital and at home. The severity of the reactions was classified as previously reported [10], ie, mild, moderate, and severe (Supplementary Appendix). The frequency of total reactions reported by week 18 was 1.7% (18 reactions/1032 doses, 1.5% mild and 0.2% moderate reactions) (Table S2 in the Supplementary Appendix).

In 2003 and 2007, Patriarca et al [4-5] published the results of fish OIT in 16 fish-allergic children using boiled cod. Treatment was completed successfully in 5 to 10 months in all cases. Patients experienced some mild adverse effects, which were easily controlled by the oral administration of antihistamines or cromolyn sodium.

Other than these studies, the only available results are from a 2017 study on OIT with fish [6]. The authors reported a case of OIT in a 6-year-old girl with fish allergy (hake IgE, 3.31 kU/L). The protocol consisted of a build-up phase with increasing doses of lyophilized hake until 12 g was tolerated and subsequently by eating increasing portions of microwave-cooked hake up to 40 g. The build-up phase of the OIT lasted 11 months. The patient experienced an anaphylactic reaction (dose, 26 g), which was treated with epinephrine, as well as 4 episodes of moderate abdominal pain that required antihistamines with or without oral corticosteroids.

We propose a new and original protocol based on OIT with a known concentration of protein content and parvalbumin consisting of a quick build-up phase and with a target dose equivalent to a typical portion of fish. Our target dose was higher than in any previously published protocols.

Further studies, including studies on maintenance treatment, are warranted. In addition, larger study samples are necessary to complete investigations. Inclusion of immunological parameters may also complement and confirm the efficacy of these treatments in food-allergic patients.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Spanish Society of Pediatric Allergology, Asthma and Clinical Immunology.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Previous Presentations

This paper was presented at the SEAIC Symposium 2017, 26-28 October, Murcia, Spain and at the 42nd SEICAP Congress 2018, 10-12 May, Málaga, Spain.

References

1. Tsaouri S, Triga M, Makris M, Kalogeromitros D, Church MK, Priftis KN. Fish and shellfish allergy in children: Review of a persistent food allergy. *Pediatric Allergy Immunol.* 2012;23:608-15.

2. Nwaru BI, Hickstein L, Panesar SS, Roberts G, Muraro A, Sheikh A on behalf of the EAACI Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Guidelines Group. Prevalence of common food allergies in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy*. 2014;69:992-1007.
3. Nurmatov U, Dhami S, Arasi S, Pajno GB, Fernandez-Rivas M, Muraro A, et al. Allergen immunotherapy for IgE-mediated food allergy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy*. 2017;72:1133-47.
4. Patriarca G, Nucera E, Roncallo C, Pollastrini E, Bartolozzi F, De Pasquale T, et al. Oral desensitizing treatment in food allergy: Clinical and immunological results. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003;17:459-6.
5. Patriarca G, Nucera E, Pollastrini E, Roncallo C, De Pasquale T, Lombardo C, et al. Oral specific desensitization in food-allergic children. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2007;52:1662-72.
6. D'Amelio C, Gastaminza G, Vega O, Bernad A, Madamba RC, Martínez-Aranguren R, et al. Induction of tolerance to different types of fish through desensitization with hake. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2017;28:96-9.
7. Sampson HA. Utility of food-specific IgE concentrations in predicting symptomatic food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2001;107:891-6.
8. Martorell A, Alonso E, Echeverría L, Escudero C, García-Rodríguez R, Blasco C, et al; Expert panel selected from members of the Spanish Society of Pediatric Allergology, Asthma and Clinical Immunology (SEICAP) and the Spanish Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology (SEAC). Oral Immunotherapy for Food Allergy: A Spanish Guideline. Immunotherapy Egg and Milk Spanish Guide (ITEMS Guide). Part I: Cow Milk and Egg Oral Immunotherapy: Introduction, Methodology, Rationale, Current State, Indications, Contraindications, and Oral Immunotherapy Build-up Phase. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2017;27:225-37.
9. Martorell A, Alonso E, Echeverría L, Escudero C, García-Rodríguez R, Blasco C, et al; Expert panel selected from members of the Spanish Society of Pediatric Allergology, Asthma and Clinical Immunology (SEICAP) and the Spanish Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology (SEAC). Oral Immunotherapy for Food Allergy: A Spanish Guideline. Egg and Milk Immunotherapy Spanish Guide (ITEMS GUIDE). Part II: Maintenance Phase of Cow Milk (CM) and Egg Oral Immunotherapy (OIT), Special Treatment Dosing Schedules. Models of Dosing Schedules of OIT With CM and Egg. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2017;27:279-90.
10. Martorell A, De la Hoz B, Ibanez MD, Bone J, Terrados MS, Michavila A, et al. Oral desensitization as a useful treatment in 2-year-old children with cow's milk allergy. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2011;41:1297-304.

■ *Manuscript received June 26, 2018; accepted for publication January 4, 2019.*

Cristina Martorell Calatayud

Servicio de Alergología
Hospital General Universitario
Avenida Tres Cruces, 2
46014 Valencia, Spain
E-mail: c.martorellcalatayud@gmail.com